P.J. 187-92

G. R. S. IX-5]

1891-92

Previous references.

File No. 190-92

192 .

(L. R.)

Serial Nos.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-2.

In **Part B** for October 1892

SUBJECT.

Prayer of Garudanagiri Vasudevaiah & Madapur Kadur Taluk for Cancelment of the Sale of land No. 82 in the said Village—.

To.

Sd. J.

The Deputy Commr. Kadur District.

No. 5850 dt. 26.10.1892.
P.J. 187-92 28

Directing that S. No. 82 in the Madapur Village be given back to Garudanagiri Vasudevaiah, on his paying the assessment thereon for back years.

WD 2233—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

1/

Mysore

Petn: Nos: 903 & 423
of 1892.

Appellants -
1. Varadaraja Iyengar
2. Gurudevaiya
Arsikere Taluk.
2. Vidda Linga Gauda
& others of Maidapur
Kadur Taluk.

Nature of Occupancy
rights - Rule I Cl II
of the A.D.C. Rules.

Land Concerned -

Survey No: 82, Sarvekere,
area - 7 - 34 acres,
assessment - 12 Rs:

In May 1889 the
Petitioners applied to the
Amildar for the grant
of the land without sale
or the ground Rent but
formerly held by his
father but afterwards
resigned by the Petitioners.
The Ryot represented that
the land was required

N.B. 9% Cent may be told that if it is true that Petitioner was a minor when he resigned the land, he may have it back on his paying the cost for such years as after by him, the land should be sold the cost of cultivation offered by Petitioner & made the upset price.

Endorse as to accuracy of [illegible] 17.10.1892

for the improvement
of the land adjoining
the survey number.
The Land was refused
by the Petitioner appealed against
the Decision to the Governor in May
1891. The Governor
in Dec:91 ordered the
sale of the land subject
to certain conditions.
The appellant against
represented that the land
should be given to him
without sale and the
Rent again objected to.
The grant of the land.
for Cultivation. But
the Governor adhered to
his Order of Dec:1891.
The land was sold. The
Rent declined to bid
for it. The Appellant
offered Rs 5"8"0. The
Collector submitted the
Rent observing that the
bid was very low in
as much as the Appellant
himself offered to pay
Rs. 166 on account of
back assessment

if the land was granted
him without sale. The
Registrar did not confirm
the sale but ordered the
resale of the land. The
Order is dated 31st March
1892.

The appeal is against
the above order.

On the one hand
the Appellant represents-
(1) that his ancestors
had spent those up-
wards of a thousand
rupees on the land.
(2) that he required the
land owing to want
of means when he
was a minor.
(3) that he has prepared
to pay back the
amount provided the
land was given to him
without sale.
(4) that his bid was
the highest
(5) that the land may
be granted him

free, or
the sale held may
be confirmed, or
the value of the trees
as may be fixed by
Merchant-Dars in his
presence may be taken
the land granted him

On the other hand
the Appt represent
that as the tank
is the only source
of drinking water for
men & cattle the
application for the
land may be rejected,
and that the bund
of the tank
raised so as to
increase the capacity
of the tank.

The Appellant resigned
the land in 1879-80

The Amildar reports
that the Appt's objection

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892.

No. 903

Name of Petitioner. Gaṇḍadagiri Kaṇḍáya

~~Village.~~

Taluk. Arsikere'

District. Hassan

Date of Arzi. 13-6-92

Date of Receipt. 20-6-92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

(1) Stamp correct

(2) Copy of order appealed against is produced

(3) appeal in time

(4) Rule 1 (cl 11) of the Additional Rules call for Prof? Yr 23/6/92

ABSTRACT.

Petitioner's ancestors owned survey no 82, extent 7 acres 34 gunt, assessment Rs 12-0-0 situated in Madapur ~~Bidagare~~ Bidare' Magani Kadur Taluk. They had converted this land into a cocoa-nut garden at a cost of thousands of rupees. During the minority of the Petitioner he rendered a Kayeenama for this land.

On the 6th May 1889 Petitioner applied for the same land. The Taluk authorities ordered that he should pay Kandayam for the land since the date of his Kayeenama.

Petitioner agreed to pay accordingly. The Taluk authorities issued to him an endorsement to the effect that the Petitioner's darkhast had been rejected. He then presented a petition to the Dy. Commr of Kadur on 10-5-91. The Deputy Commr issued an Endorsement no 365 dated 3-12-91 stating that the Amildar had been directed to issue a notice of sale containing certain conditions, of sale, to put up the land for sale and give it out for cultivation. Petitioner thereupon presented another petition, stating that the land in question had been acquired by his ancestors, that he ignorantly tendered a razeenama for it during his minority, that if the land were put up for sale, the inhabitants of the village who were not on good terms with him would not allow him to take up the land and that therefore the ~~land~~ value of the land might be estimated and the land might be given out to him. Order no 216 of 17th January 1892 was issued to the effect

that the land would be put up for sale and that he might purchase it then. After the notice of sale was issued from the Taluk he again presented a petition to the Deputy Comm^r, stating that the sale might not be held and that the land might be given out to him on estimating the value of it. The Deputy Comm^r issued an Endorsement that there was no good reason shewn to prohibit the sale of the ~~grant~~ land & that therefore it would be put up for sale when the petitioner might obtain it.

The sale took place on the 20th February 1892 when the Shekdar of Bidare' magani and the inhabitants of the village were all present. The value of the trees on the land was estimated at Rs. 5. Pet^r offered Rs 5½ for the land. This happened to be the highest bid. He produced the full amount before the Shekdar & obtained a receipt for it.

On the 8th May 1892

petitioner presented
a petition to the Deputy
Commissioner Kadur
dist requesting that
the land put up for
sale might be delivered
to his possession, as that
was the proper season
for cultivation. The
Deputy Commr issued
Endorst no 56 of 10-5-92
to the effect that the
sale of the land for Rs 5½
had not been approved
and that the Amildar
had been directed to
hold a fresh sale. The
Deputy Commr in his
another Endorsement
no 79 dated 25th May 1892
states as follows:—
"On a perusal of the
records it does not appear
that, in accordance with
para 22 of Rule 20, of the
Revenue Rules, the value
had been properly
estimated previous
to holding the sale, the
Amildar has been
therefore directed to
hold a fresh sale in
accordance with the
Rules. your wishes
cannot be complied
with."
Petitioner presented

some petitions stating that as nobody offered more than Rs 5-8-0 during the sale, it was unnecessary to hold a fresh sale.

Petitioner prays:-

(1) that as the land originally belonged to the x[illegible] of his ancestors, which owing to famine he resigned during his minority, the same may be given out to him gratis.

(2) that the first sale of the land may be confirmed

(3) that the Govt may direct that the value of the trees &c be estimated in the presence of the Panchayets and, the Petitioner, and the land be given out to him.

Petitioner appeals against the order of the Dy Comm[r] Kadur No 79 dated the 25th May 1892 quoted above.

BCH

2

RE 16-6-92

MYSORE DEWAN'S
PETITION
903
16-6-92

Call for Records
4/2/93

A.S.No 4

3

[illegible]

[illegible] 1-2-92 [illegible]

[illegible]

7 [illegible]

8 [illegible] 18-1,9 [illegible]

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆ/ಬೀಜ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ/ಮಾದರಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೧೨೩/೯೧-೯೨ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೧೪-೨-೯೧

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಿರಿಯ ಕೃಷಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಬೀಜ ಪರೀಕ್ಷಾ ಕೇಂದ್ರ, ಮಲ್ಲಿಗೆಪುರ

ವಿಷಯ: ಬೀಜ ಮಾದರಿ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: ಕೃಷಿ ಇಲಾಖೆ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಕೃಇ/ಬೀಜ/೨೦೨೧, ದಿನಾಂಕ ೨೮-೧-೯೧

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯ ಮತ್ತು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ, ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ಬೀಜ ಮಾದರಿಯನ್ನು ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗಾಗಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಮಾದರಿಯನ್ನು ಸಂಗ್ರಹಿಸಿದ ವಿವರಗಳು ಹೀಗಿವೆ:

ಬೆಳೆಯ ಹೆಸರು: ಭತ್ತ (ಸೋನಾ ತಳಿ)

ಮಾದರಿ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಿಸಿದ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೩-೧೨-೯೦

ಮಾದರಿ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಿಸಿದ ಸ್ಥಳ: ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. ೩೬೫, ಕೆಂಪಾಪುರ ಗ್ರಾಮ, ಮಲ್ಲಿಗೆಪುರ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

ಮಾದರಿ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಿಸಿದ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ಹುದ್ದೆ: ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ, ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕೃಷಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ

ಮಾದರಿಯ ತೂಕ: ೨ ಕೆ.ಜಿ.

ಮಾದರಿಯನ್ನು ಸಂಗ್ರಹಿಸಿದ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೨೩-೧೧-೯೦

ಮಾದರಿಯನ್ನು ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೧೬-೧೨-೯೦

ಮಾದರಿಯ ಜೊತೆಗೆ ಬೀಜ ಪ್ರಮಾಣೀಕರಣ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಲೇಬಲ್ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಮಾದರಿಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರಮಾಣೀಕರಿಸಿದ ಬೀಜವೆಂದು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಮಾದರಿಯ ಪರೀಕ್ಷಾ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಆದಷ್ಟು ಬೇಗ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಸಹಿ/-

(ಎಂ. ಕೆ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ)

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕೃಷಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ

ಮಲ್ಲಿಗೆಪುರ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

ಪ್ರತಿ:

೧. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ, ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕೃಷಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ, ಮಲ್ಲಿಗೆಪುರ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು — ಮಾಹಿತಿಗಾಗಿ.

೨. ಕಡತ.

ಕಾಲ ಅಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೆ ಅವರ ಪಿತ್ರಾರ್ಜಿತ ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಅವರ ಸಂತತಿಯವರು ಅನುಭವಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರಬೇಕು.

ಮತ್ತೆ ಅವರು ಸಂತತಿಯವರಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಸತ್ತರೆ ಅವರ ಆಸ್ತಿಯು ಅವರ ಸಹೋದರರಿಗೆ ಸೇರಬೇಕು.

ಅಂತ. — —

ಈ ಕ್ರಿಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೇಲಿನವರಿಗೆಲ್ಲಾ ಸೇರಿದ ಹಾಗೆ ಅವರ ಸಂತತಿಯವರಿಗೂ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಸಂತತಿಯವರಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಸತ್ತರೆ ಅವರ ಸಹೋದರರಿಗೂ ಸೇರಿದ ಹಾಗೆ ಆಗಬೇಕು. — —

ಈ ಕ್ರಿಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೇಲಿನವರಿಗೆಲ್ಲಾ ಸೇರಿದ ಹಾಗೆ ಅವರ ಸಂತತಿಯವರಿಗೂ ಅವರ ಸಂತತಿಯವರಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಸತ್ತರೆ ಅವರ ಸಹೋದರರಿಗೂ ಸೇರಿದ ಹಾಗೆ ಆಗಬೇಕು. — —

ಮೇಲಿನವರಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾರಿಗಾದರೂ ಸಂತತಿಯಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಸತ್ತರೆ ಅವರ ಆಸ್ತಿಯು ಅವರ ಸಹೋದರರಿಗೆ ಸೇರಬೇಕು.

ಮೇಲಿನವರಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾರಿಗಾದರೂ ಸಂತತಿಯಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಸತ್ತರೆ ಅವರ ಆಸ್ತಿಯು ಅವರ ಸಹೋದರರಿಗೆ ಸೇರಬೇಕು.

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892.

No. 478

Name of Petitioner. Venugopal.

Village. Gangavara

Taluk. Arkalgud

District. Hassan

Date of Arzi. 17 — 8 — 92

Date of Receipt. 22 — 8 — 92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil.

ABSTRACT.

Prays for early disposal of his case connected with the Lingam which he obtained in auction, copies of documents filed & Rein 25 1/2 - 92 - Rs 3-3.

Forwarded 8/9/92
To Council
With Papers
Report in 80
8/12/92
24/9/92

12

478R

22-8-92

20. No Pol 4

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 303 dated 13-6-92 received at the Dewan's Office from Vasudevaya residing in Gowdanahalli Arakere Taluk, Hassan Dist., praying that the sale of his land Rs 32 in Madapura Kadur Taluk may be cancelled

is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the Deputy Commr Kadur District, for submission of Proofs with a report on the facts of the case and on the points taken in the petition. The petition should be returned

Rs 30 Sy No 20 Hissa No 4

By Order,

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE, 2 July 1892

5

Cancelled

21

19

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892. 1725.

From The Dy Commissioner Kadur Dist

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 12th.

Despatched August

Received

Enclosures No. 1 Precis 2 Cer-vile 3 application &c.

General No. 80 1892-93.

No.

Submitting report on the petition of Gauda nagiri Vasudeva of the Bradapur village, Kadur Taluk, touching upon the facts of the case — with an opinion that the land applied for by him should be resold by public auction —

Pet No. 178

To the case No. 5553 RA 1579 of

26/10/92

16/8/92

22

No 80 Deputy Commissioner's Office
Kadur District.

Dated Chikmagalur 1st August 1892.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your memo No 4 of 5.7.1892, I have the honor to inform you that the facts of the case stand as follows:-

The land in question, Survey No 82, of Madapur village, Kadur Taluk, is assessed land, standing in the bed of a small tank. The land was assigned in 1879-80 by the petitioner for reasons unknown. He petitioned for the land to be given back to him on payment of back assessment, in May 1891, this was refused and the land ordered to

to be put up to public auction. He again petitioned in January 1892 that the land should be given to him without sale on condition of his maintaining the date trees in consideration that the land once belonged to him; or for the valuation of the trees on the land; or on his paying half the amount of the last assessment (about Rs 83).

2. On the same date, January 1892, the ryots objected to the land being given to him, on the following grounds:-

(1) That the tank was useful for cattle, men and baggage to lands below it.

(2) That the Assistant Superintendent Hassan suggested to the Khateder that he should resign the land on account of its probable submersion.

The ryots further stated that they had not undertaken the repairing of the tank since the land had been resigned, as the work had not been

apportioned to them by the Taluk authorities.

3. As the ryots could show no reference, regarding the proposal to repair the tank from the Assistant Superintendent of Gardens, and as the Amildar reports that the tank if repaired would be of little benefit to the villagers who are already well supplied with water, their objections were overruled and the land ordered to be sold by public auction as per former orders from this office, making certain stipulations to the purchaser, regarding the land in question, as it was considered that if the land was of so much value to the villagers they might purchase it. The land was accordingly sold on the 20th February 1892 and fetched only Rs 5-8-0, Varadaraja the petitioner being the only bidder, the villagers having failed to bid as they stated

that the land should be held as govern-
-ment waste. The sale has not been
sanctioned as the Amildar reports
that the amount realised is extra-
-ordinarily low and far below its
real value.

4. As the present price Rs 5-8-0
is so far below the value of the
land, I am of opinion that the
land should be re-sold by public
auction.

5. A precis, together with all
the records of the case, is submitted
for information, if necessary.

The appeal petition with its
enclosures is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

H H Lindsay

Asst. Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

× ending with Kadur Amildar's report No 150 dated 20 July 19

Copy
HK.

Precis of correspondence in the case of a land applied for by one Gara-:danagiri Nanudeviah in the Madapur village, Kadur Taluk.

Survey No. 82 - Bagayet.
Area - 4-34 acres.
Assessment Rs 2/- Govt waste.

14 May 39. One Nanudeviah of Gardanagiri, in the Aravitiere Taluk, petitioned the Deputy Commissioner, the purport of which is as follows:—

1. As Sur: No. 82 was a bagayet cultivated by my elders at a large outlay and resigned owing to poverty, I requested (in a petition dated 6th May 1939) the Amildar to restore me the said land without sale.

He was pleased to reply that it would be rectified on my pre-paying the back assessment with local cess. Accordingly I accosted a Muchilka before the Kotli Sheikdar.

2. To my misfortune, I heard that the Sheikdar was intimated to say that my request was not granted owing to the ryots representing that the land was required for the tank improvements.

3. The tank was in exis ence prior to the acqui sition of the land in question by my ancestors, about 40 or 50 years ago. It was resigned owing to famine, i.e, ~~after~~ 3 or 4 years after the survey settlement.

28. 4. The ryots have put forward their objections simply because I have applied for it.

5. It is for the Revenue Commr to consider why the land was secured by the Survey Department if it was apprehended that the cultivation of the land would interfere with the tank.

6. I am ready to give up the land in the event of the ryots executing a Muchilka binding themselves to repair the tank so as to hold 8 feet of water in depth. The tank has no sluice below its present capacity is not such as to hold water sufficient for at least 2 or 3 months.

14 February 1879. The Amildar's Endorsement to the petitioner is to the

effect that the land could 89
not be given to him as his
(petitioner's) clarkhat
in 1889-90 was rejected
on the representation that
the land was required
for tank improvement,
and should not be granted.

The Amildar was asked 23 May 89
to report in detail on the
matter. He was also asked
to explain the bearings
of the tank and the land.

The Amildar reported 10 June 1889
as follows:—

This land which was
in the name of the peti-
tioner was resigned
by him in 1889-90. The
clarkhat record of 1889-90
shews that the spot —
having stated that the
land was within the
tank bed and that the
village cattle used to

Do. to stand in it, the applica-
tion was rejected.

The land in dispute
adjoins the tank. It
appears from the above
record that the land was
assigned for year of sub-
mersion.

16 June 1891. The similar was directed
to report what steps have
been taken for the tank
repairs. what is the
present state.

23 November 1891. The similar reports
as follows:—

No repairs are executed
to the tank.

No 40 The tank has no pehkat.
It is useful to cattle and
men and to dry Bagasse
below it. The land lies in
continuation of the tank
bed. vide sketch.

There is no difficulty of
water supply in the village
It is not remunerative

for the government to undertake the repairs of the tank.

The objections of the ryots are that the land should not be given as they intend to execute the repairs and which may result in the submersion of the land in question. They would not have neglected the tank like this without any repairs if they thought that the tank would be useful if repaired.

The similar state that these objections are purely made by the ryots to prevent the land being given to the applicant.

There are not such strong grounds as to prevent the land from being given out for cultivation. The land which now contains

contains some Rayyet-tees
was once in occupation but
resigned for some reasons.
If the tank bund is im-
proved and extended,
the land may be submerged.
It is sufficient if the ryots
repair the tank in its
present state.

23rd November 89. The Amildar recommends
that the land be sold
on the upset price of Rs
165-12-0 which is the total
tank assessment of the
land.

3rd December 89. The following order was
given to the Amildar
as the land is assessed
and was once in occu-
pation, the ryots' objection
deserved no consideration.
From the peculiar position
and nature of the land,
coupled with other circum-
stances of the case, it is

desirable that the land should be sold by public sale according to the latter portion of para 2 of Rule IX.

The best assessment should not be taken as an asset price which should be according to existing local circumstances

The land should be sold after publishing the notice to Panchayats and the villagers stating the following conditions in the sale notice.

1. The tank land should not be extended without permission.
2. Necessary repairs may be executed to keep up the present level of the bund.
3. The purchaser of the land should clearly know that he should not losing any taxes

thereafter that he became the
—— loser on account of
its submersion.

4. The objections which
may be made hereafter
cannot be accepted.

5. A sufficiently strong
bank should be thrown
with stones 3½ on the low-
: dary of the land towards
the tank.

6 No earth from this —
land should be made
to flow into the tank.

22 January 1902

The applicant Varadaia
gave a detailed petition
to the Deputy Commissioner
at Shivagangai. The pith
of the petition is that the
land should be given to
him without sale in con-
: sideration of its once —
having belonged to him.

but that it may be given either (1) on condition —— of his maintaining the fan-date trees on the land as Government property or (2) on payment of the valuation of the trees on the land or (3) on his surrendering half the last assessment which comes to about Rs 32-14-0.

The spot of the Madapam village in which the disputed land is situated also gave a petition to the Deputy Commissioner It is as follows:-

22nd January 1902

The tank is about 15 yards from the village gate and is useful for cattle, men and Bagayet lands below it.

About 20 years

ago, i.e, prior to the survey
Settlement the water ways
of the tank were in dis-
repair when Loobia
(father of Mandemia)
acquired the land No:
82 by Parkhat.

He restored the Kodi
afterwards. When the
land began to be sub-
merged, Loobia made
a complaint and
succeeded in (getting
Government orders) to
breach the Kodi at the
orders of the late Assis-
tant Superintendent
of the Mannar District.
This action was objected
to and an appeal —
made to the late
Chief Commissioner.
Then the said Assistant

Superintendent came to Madapur personally expressed that the land and Kali would be reclaimed and directed Doddaiah to resign the land if he does not require it. Afterwards the earth work was done by the ryots and the stone and brick work by Government. It is about 2 years ago that Doddaiah son Nanjundaiah resigned land No: 82. When Nanjundaiah applied for this land, the villagers were enquired into. They stated that the land should not be given

to anyone and that they would be willing to do earth work as per Government orders. When his application was rejected and the villagers ordered to do the earth work. Accordingly the jungle on the land was since cleared, but as further work was not distributed to them (by the Taluk authorities) the tank work could not be done. It is stated that the land would be sold on 20 February next. It is therefore requested that orders to sell the land should be withdrawn (and the land let free for the use of the tank).

On the above 2 petitions Deputy Commissioner has passed his orders to say that his former orders (dated 3rd December 91) should stand good.

× dated 23rd January 92

But a few seconds after the communication of the orders dated 23rd January 92 to the villagers they required copy of orders to enable them to go to Government to prefer an appeal. They were told in reply that the papers on the subject will be referred and orders issued again from Kadur. While Deputy Commissioners orders of 23rd January 92 and they were also made to understand

that these orders were not to accuse them with their request being complied with.

order.

On referring the orders already passed, I see no necessity to alter them and the land is to be sold subject to the conditions contained therein. (Sd.) J. A.C.
31. 1. 1892

20th February 1892.

As per order of the 2/2 January 1892 the land was sold after the publication of the sale notice to the villagers and Vaccalamiah. There was only one and the last bid from Vaccalamiah for Rs 5,12. The villagers failed to make any bid and to sign the sale list

though they were present at the sale, owing to their prayer not having been granted.

Note:- Vracodevia paid in the purchase money at once.

In forwarding the above proceedings the Amildar stated that the villagers might have purposely omitted to bid for the land as they were frustrated in their attempt that the bid of Rs 5/12 now obtained is very low when compared with the value of the trees (noted in the margin) standing on the land, that the land is very fertile for Bagayet cultivation from the moisture it has, that the Parkhavidar

Report Sec. 1528 dated 24 March 1892.

unfit Toddy trees - 28
Toddy plants - 20
Toddy tree (dried) - 1
} no value

Jali tree ——— / about 5ft in girth valued at Rs 2

Chalie tree - unfit.

Cocoanut tree (unfit) - 9.

42
(Annadevia) has been
——— acting for the land
being given to him without
sale on payment of the
Kandayan due on it from
the date of the beginning
it, viz: 1912 – 8, and that
as even the value of the
trees has not been realized
in the sale while the –
applicant stated that
he would pay up to 1918
the last assessment,
he (Amilcar) solicited
orders for the cancellation
of the present sale, and
the resale of the land.

The Amilcar also
added that the land
might be given up to the
applicant without resale
taking it off from him
as requested by him.

Am

An order to the following effect was sent to the Amildar.

The land ought to have been sold after ascertaining its value according to para 22 of Rule XX under the Land Revenue Rules. The present sale does not deserve confirmation in as much as you say that the land did not fetch a proper value. A fresh notice of sale should be published a resale held and a report submitted.

If necessary. the villagers may acquire the land by purchase at the resale. With a view of giving access to the ryots to get the land in the sale if they required,

orders were passed to sell the land without taking the last assessment. The villagers should be enlightened in the matter.

24 June 1892. A fresh notice of resale was published fixing 24 June 1892 for it.

23 July 1892. The resale could not be done owing to the Amildar having been engaged otherwise another date namely 23 July 1892 was fixed for resale. — Before this resale could take place, the records were called for on the appeal petition of Ramachandra. Hence the resale of the land has not yet taken place. The purchase money, viz. Rupees 5-8-0, paid by Vasudeva in the

original sale in bulk
in detroit.

Chilimagain
11 August 1942

Deputy Commissioner
Kaduc District

Copy
HK

To

The Hony. Kaiser Deputy.

Accts. No 30 dt. 2.3.09 reporting on the petition of Gurudayal Vasudeva of Sadaipur Village, Kaira - Guj. praying for the cancelment of the case of land 6.8.8 in the said village.

LR 1909 F. --

With reference to the above have the honr to state that if it is true that Pt was a minor when he resigned the land, he may have it back on his paying the asstt, for back years as offered in Govt. If not, the land should be sold the asstt of back years offered by Pt being made the upset price.

2. The accts are returned.

By Order.

Draft. Nath 26/10/09

for Chief Secretary.

GR 25/10

25/10

47

(General Serial No.-89.)

189

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

Lt. B. Evans,
Kadur Distt.

Dated 26

Despatched 28. Octo. 1892

Received

Enclosures No. one file

General No. 5850.
Pol. No. 187

of P. Proceedings directing that D.No.32 in the Birtahun village, Kadur Tk, be given back to Gavdaru girisa recorded in his name back the asst thereon for back years.

References to be marked.

48.

No.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
Oct 1892.

Endorsement granted to Vaccu Venkoba residing in Gaindana ~~Pirki~~, Sira Taluk, Tumkur District.
in reply to his Petition Registered No. 2335 dated 3 June 1892 Regd.

Petitioner is informed that the Dy Comr has been directed to institute enquiry on his having the acct for last year as agent of Sira, if it is true that he was a minor when he resigned the land. If not, the land will be sold, the accts of last year agent of Sira being made the agent Sira,

2. The petn is hereby returned.

25/10

"By Order,"

Draft. 26/10
for Chief Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—5-7-92—1000.

To be shown for disposal
B.O.
21/8/92
R. 24/8

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892.

No. 423

Name of Petitioner. Kalalinga Gau and others.

Village. Gadasura

Taluk. Kadur

District. Do.

Date of Arzi. 12th August

Date of Receipt. 17th August.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

The survey no. 82 is very close to the tank and becomes submerged ~~submerged the tanks~~ when the tank is filled. Once the bund of the land cut down the bund and let out the water to prevent his land being submerged. This has complained of and the Kodi has agreed to be repaired the owner the land subsequently resigned it; now his son Vasudevappa has applied for it. As the tank is the only source of drinking water for men & cattle of this & the surrounding villages, Petitioners pray that

the application for the land may be rejected and the bond & cash of the tank be raised, so that sufficient quantity of boiler-tube steel ink it, the Govt doing the stone-work and leaving the earth-work to the Sheffield's are willing. is ready for it.

S.V.

4 23 A

17-8-92

ਸਾਰੇ ਹਾਲਾਤ ਨੂੰ ਮੱਦੇ ਨਜ਼ਰ ਰੱਖਦੇ ਹੋਏ ਅਤੇ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਦੀ ਹਦਾਇਤਾਂ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਸਾਰੇ ਸਕੂਲ ਮਿਤੀ 16 ਮਾਰਚ ਤੋਂ 31 ਮਾਰਚ ਤੱਕ ਬੰਦ ਰਹਿਣਗੇ। ਇਸ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਸਾਰੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀ ਆਪਣੇ ਘਰਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਰਹਿਣ ਅਤੇ ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਤਰ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੇ ਇਕੱਠ ਤੋਂ ਗੁਰੇਜ਼ ਕਰਨ। ਸਕੂਲ ਦੀ ਪੜ੍ਹਾਈ ਆਨਲਾਈਨ ਮਾਧਿਅਮ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਜਾਰੀ ਰਹੇਗੀ। ਸਾਰੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀ ਆਪਣੇ ਅਧਿਆਪਕਾਂ ਨਾਲ ਸੰਪਰਕ ਵਿੱਚ ਰਹਿਣ ਅਤੇ ਆਪਣੀ ਪੜ੍ਹਾਈ ਨੂੰ ਨਿਰੰਤਰ ਜਾਰੀ ਰੱਖਣ। ਇਸ ਸਮੇਂ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਸਾਰੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀ ਆਪਣੇ ਘਰਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਰਹਿਣ ਅਤੇ ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਤਰ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੇ ਇਕੱਠ ਤੋਂ ਗੁਰੇਜ਼ ਕਰਨ। ਸਕੂਲ ਦੀ ਪੜ੍ਹਾਈ ਆਨਲਾਈਨ ਮਾਧਿਅਮ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਜਾਰੀ ਰਹੇਗੀ। ਸਾਰੇ ਵਿਦਿਆਰਥੀ ਆਪਣੇ ਅਧਿਆਪਕਾਂ ਨਾਲ ਸੰਪਰਕ ਵਿੱਚ ਰਹਿਣ ਅਤੇ ਆਪਣੀ ਪੜ੍ਹਾਈ ਨੂੰ ਨਿਰੰਤਰ ਜਾਰੀ ਰੱਖਣ।

53 Pet 301

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 423

dated 12th August 92 received at the

Dewan's Office from Doddalingi Sowda & others of Madapura, Kadur Taluk, praying that the S. line of the village may be granted to Kasudevaiah who has established for it & that the Kodi of the Tank be raised,

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~

~~enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commr. Kadur Dist for disposal.

Grant Mr. Canal Rs. 1333 &

By Order,

Draft

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
30 August 1892

1 — 9 — 1892

Endorsed
1/9/92

Gramsden.

The sketch map in the Ver: Record shows the position of the land in relation to the tank.

The sale is made subject to the condition that the appellant should not in future complain in case the land be submerged. & that the bund of the tank should not be raised without order.

There is no catchment below the tank. and there is no lack of water Convenience (Please Amin's report no: 965 of 23.11.91).

Yr
Judge

52 L.R. 1892-93

(General Serial No. 30.) 2689.

1892 • Pet 130

From The Dy Commissioner Kadur District

To The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Dated 6th

Despatched September.

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 133 } 1892-93.
No.

With reference to Official Memo. Pet. No 301 of 30th Ultimo, has the honor to state that the detailed report which has been made on the complaint of one Vasoodevia - vide this Office No. 1725 of the 11th Ultimo - will dispose of the present reference which is a counter petition from the villagers praying

55

for the retentio
the Survey No. 8
for by Vasoodeva

The petition a
therefore herew
retransmitted f
reference, if nece
when disposing
the other case wh
is before Govern

Attd Sarm
Dy Commissio
Kadur

Asst